Science 7 October 2011 \$10



rapid evolution, a signature property of the extraordinarily plastic interactions between MHC class I ligands and lymphocyte receptors (6).

References and Notes

- 1. A. Gibbons, Science 331, 392 (2011).
- 2. V. Yotova et al., Mol. Biol. Evol. 28, 1957 (2011).
- 3. R. E. Green et al., Science 328, 710 (2010).
- 4. D. Reich et al., Nature 468, 1053 (2010).
- V. Castric, J. Bechsgaard, M. H. Schierup, X. Vekemans, PLoS Genet. 4, e1000168 (2008).
- 6. P. Parham, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 5, 201 (2005).
- 7. K. Cao et al., Hum. Immunol. 62, 1009 (2001).
- 8. P. Parham et al., Tissue Antigens 43, 302 (1994).
- C. Vilches, R. Pablo, M. J. Herrero, M. E. Moreno, M. Kreisler, *Immunogenetics* 40, 166 (1994).
- J. Robinson et al., Nucleic Acids Res. 39 (Database issue), D1171 (2011).
- 11. Materials and methods are available as supporting material on *Science* Online.
- F. F. Gonzalez-Galarza, S. Christmas, D. Middleton, A. R. Jones, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39** (Database issue), D913 (2011).
- 13. C. Vilches, R. Pablo, M. J. Herrero, M. E. Moreno,
- M. Kreisler, Immunogenetics 40, 313 (1994). 14. D. M. Behar et al., Am. J. Hum. Genet. 82, 1130 (2008).
- 15. O. Semino, A. S. Santachiara-Benerecetti, F. Falaschi,
- L. L. Cavalli-Sforza, P. A. Underhill, *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **70**, 265 (2002).
- 16. M. J. Jobin, J. L. Mountain, Bioinformatics 24, 2936 (2008).

- 17. M. P. Belich et al., Nature 357, 326 (1992).
- 18. D. I. Watkins et al., Nature 357, 329 (1992).
- 19. M. L. Petzl-Erler, R. Luz, V. S. Sotomaior, *Tissue Antigens*
- **41**, 227 (1993). 20. The International HapMap Consortium, *Nature* **437**,
- 1299 (2005). 21. F. Cruciani *et al., Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **70**, 1197 (2002).
- 21. F. Cruciani et al., Am. J. Hum. Genet. 70, 1197 (200)
- 22. Y. Moodley et al., Science **323**, 527 (2009).
- M. DeGiorgio, M. Jakobsson, N. A. Rosenberg, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 106, 16057 (2009).
- 24. P. J. Norman et al., Nat. Genet. 39, 1092 (2007).
- 25. A. Ferrer-Admetlla *et al., J. Immunol.* **181**, 1315 (2008).
- 26. P. O. de Campos-Lima et al., Science 260, 98 (1993).
- 27. P. Hansasuta et al., Eur. J. Immunol. 34, 1673 (2004).
- 28. T. Graef et al., J. Exp. Med. 206, 2557 (2009).
- 29. A. K. Moesta et al., J. Immunol. 180, 3969 (2008).
- 30. M. Yawata *et al., Blood* **112**, 2369 (2008).
- 31. P. I. de Bakker et al., Nat. Genet. 38, 1166 (2006). Acknowledgments: We thank individual investigators and the Bone Marrow Donors Worldwide (BMDW) organization for kindly providing HLA class I typing data, as well as bone marrow registries from Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Cyprus, Czech Republic, France, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Lithuania, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, United Kingdom, and United States for contributing typing data through BMDW. We thank E. Watkin for technical support. We are indebted to the large genome sequencing centers for early access to the gorilla genome data. We used sequence reads generated at the Wellcome Trust Sanger

Institute as part of the gorilla reference genome sequencing project. These data can be obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Trace Archive (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces). We also used reads generated by Washington University School of Medicine; these data were produced by the Genome Institute at Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis and can be obtained from the NCBI Trace Archive (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/). Funded by NIH grant AI031168, Yerkes Center base grant RR000165, NSF awards (CNS-0619926, TG-DBS100006), by federal funds from the National Cancer Institute (NCI), NIH (contract HHSN261200800001E), and by the Intramural Research Program of the NCI, NIH, Center for Cancer Research. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. government. Sequence data have been deposited in GenBank under accession nos. JF974053 to 70.

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1209202/DC1 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S26 References (*32–87*)

1 June 2011; accepted 5 August 2011 Published online 25 August 2011; 10.1126/science.1209202

An Aboriginal Australian Genome Reveals Separate Human Dispersals into Asia

Morten Rasmussen,^{1,2}* Xiaosen Guo,^{2,3}* Yong Wang,⁴* Kirk E. Lohmueller,⁴* Simon Rasmussen,⁵ Anders Albrechtsen,⁶ Line Skotte,⁶ Stinus Lindgreen,^{1,6} Mait Metspalu,⁷ Thibaut Jombart,⁸ Toomas Kivisild,⁹ Weiwei Zhai,¹⁰ Anders Eriksson,¹¹ Andrea Manica,¹¹ Ludovic Orlando,¹ Francisco M. De La Vega,¹² Silvana Tridico,¹³ Ene Metspalu,⁷ Kasper Nielsen,⁵ María C. Ávila-Arcos,¹ J. Víctor Moreno-Mayar,^{1,14} Craig Muller,¹⁵ Joe Dortch,¹⁶ M. Thomas P. Gilbert,^{1,2} Ole Lund,⁵ Agata Wesolowska,⁵ Monika Karmin,⁷ Lucy A. Weinert,⁸ Bo Wang,³ Jun Li,³ Shuaishuai Tai,³ Fei Xiao,³ Tsunehiko Hanihara,¹⁷ George van Driem,¹⁸ Aashish R. Jha,¹⁹ François-Xavier Ricaut,²⁰ Peter de Knijff,²¹ Andrea B Migliano,^{9,22} Irene Gallego Romero,¹⁹ Karsten Kristiansen,^{2,3,6} David M. Lambert,²³ Søren Brunak,^{5,24} Peter Forster,^{25,26} Bernd Brinkmann,²⁶ Olaf Nehlich,²⁷ Michael Bunce,¹³ Michael Richards,^{27,28} Ramneek Gupta,⁵ Carlos D. Bustamante,¹² Anders Krogh,^{1,6} Robert A. Foley,⁹ Marta M. Lahr,⁹ Francois Balloux,⁸ Thomas Sicheritz-Pontén,^{5,29} Richard Villems,^{7,30} Rasmus Nielsen,^{4,6}† Jun Wang,^{2,3,6,31}† Eske Willerslev^{1,2}†

We present an Aboriginal Australian genomic sequence obtained from a 100-year-old lock of hair donated by an Aboriginal man from southern Western Australia in the early 20th century. We detect no evidence of European admixture and estimate contamination levels to be below 0.5%. We show that Aboriginal Australians are descendants of an early human dispersal into eastern Asia, possibly 62,000 to 75,000 years ago. This dispersal is separate from the one that gave rise to modern Asians 25,000 to 38,000 years ago. We also find evidence of gene flow between populations of the two dispersal waves prior to the divergence of Native Americans from modern Asian ancestors. Our findings support the hypothesis that present-day Aboriginal Australians descend from the earliest humans to occupy Australia, likely representing one of the oldest continuous populations outside Africa.

The genetic history of Aboriginal Australians is contentious but highly important for understanding the evolution of modern humans. All living non-African populations likely derived from a single dispersal of modern humans out of Africa, followed by subsequent serial founder effects (1, 2). Accordingly, eastern Asia is hypothesized to have been populated by a single early migration wave rather than multiple dispersals (3). In this "single-dispersal model,"

Aboriginal Australians are predicted to have diversified from within the Asian cluster [for definitions of human populations and groups, see (4)] (Fig. 1A, top). Recent whole-genome studies reveal a split between Europeans and Asians dating to 17,000 to 43,000 years before the present (B.P.) (5, 6). Because greater Australia (Australia and Melanesia, including New Guinea) has some of the earliest archaeological evidence of anatomically modern humans outside Africa, dating back to ~50,000 years B.P. (7, 8), a divergence of aboriginal Australasians from within the Asian cluster is not compatible with population continuity in Australia. Alternatively, on the basis of archaeological and fossil evidence, it has been proposed that greater Australia was occupied by an early, possibly independent out-of-Africa dispersal, before the population expansion giving rise to the majority of present-day Eurasians (9, 10). According to this "multiple-dispersal model," the descendants of the earlier migration became assimilated or replaced by the later-dispersing populations, with a few exceptions that include Aboriginal Australians (10, 11) (Fig. 1A, bottom).

We sequenced the genome of an Aboriginal Australian male from the early 20th century to overcome problems of recent European admixture and contamination (4). We used 0.6 g of hair for DNA extraction (4, 12). Despite its relatively young age, the genomic sequence showed a high degree of fragmentation, with an average length of 69 base pairs. The genome was sequenced to an overall depth of 6.4×; the ~60% of the genomic regions covered was sequenced to an average depth of $11 \times (4)$ [theoretical maximum is ~85% (12)]. Cytosine-to-thymine misincorporation levels typical of ancient DNA (13) were low

REPORTS



Fig. 1. (**A**) The two models for early dispersal of modern humans into eastern Asia. Top: Single-dispersal model predicting a single early dispersal of modern humans into eastern Asia. Bottom: Multiple-dispersal model predicting separate dispersals into eastern Asia of aboriginal Australasians and the ancestors of most other present-day East Asians. AF, Africans; EU, Europeans; ASN, Asians; ABR, Aboriginal Australians. Arrow symbolizes gene flow. (**B**) PCA plot (PC1 versus PC2) of the studied populations and the ancient genome of the Aboriginal Australian (marked with a cross). Inset shows the greater Australia populations (4). (**C**) Ancestry proportions of the studied 1220 individuals from 79 populations and the ancient Aboriginal Australian as revealed by the ADMIXTURE program (28) with K = 5, K = 11, and K = 20. A stacked column of the K proportions represents each individual, with fractions indicated on the y axis [see (4) for the choice of K]. The greater Australia populations are shown in detail at the upper right.

¹Centre for GeoGenetics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, and Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 5-7, 1350 Copenhagen, Denmark. ²Sino-Danish Genomics Center, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China, and University of Copenhagen, Denmark. ³Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Transomics Biotechnologies, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China. ⁴Departments of Integrative Biology and Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. ⁵Center for Biological Sequence Analysis, Department of Systems Biology, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark. ⁶Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, 2200 Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁷Department of Evolutionary Biology, Tartu University and Estonian Biocentre, 23 Riia Street, 510101 Tartu, Estonia. ⁸MRC Centre for Outbreak, Analysis and Modeling, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Imperial College Faculty of Medicine, London W2 1PG, UK, ⁹Leverhulme Centre for Human Evolutionary Studies, Department of Biological Anthropology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 10H. UK. ¹ ⁰Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 7 Beitucheng West Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100029, China. ¹¹Evolutionary Ecology Group,

Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK ¹²Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. ¹³Ancient DNA Lab, School of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Murdoch University, Western Australia 6150, Australia. ¹⁴Undergraduate Program on Genomic Sciences, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Avenida Universidad s/n Chamilpa 62210, Cuernavaca, Morelos, Mexico. ¹⁵Goldfields Land and Sea Council Aboriginal Corporation, 14 Throssell Street, Kalgoorlie, Western Australia 6430, Australia. ¹⁶Archaeology, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia. ¹⁷Department of Anatomy, Kitasato Universitä School of Medicine, 1-15-1 Kitsasto, Minami-ku, Sagamihara 252-0374, Japan. ¹⁸Institut für Sprachwissenschaft, Universität Bern, 3000 Bern 9, Switzerland. ¹⁹Department of Human Genetics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. ²⁰Laboratoire d'Anthropologie Moléculaire et Imagerie de Synthèse, Université de Toulouse (Paul Sabatier)-CNRS UMR 5288, 31073 Toulouse Cedex 3, France. ²¹Department of Human and Clinical Genetics, Postzone S5-P, Leiden University Medical Center, 2333 ZA Leiden, Netherlands. ²²Department of Anthropology, University College London, London WC1E

6BT, UK. ²³Griffith School of Environment and School of Biomolecular and Physical Sciences, Griffith University, Nathan, Queensland 4111, Australia. ²⁴Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Copenhagen, 2200 Copenhagen, Denmark. ²⁵Murray Edwards College, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0DF, UK. ²⁶Institute for Forensic Genetics, D-48161 Münster, Germany. ²⁷Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 04103 Leipzig, Germany. ²⁸Department of Anthropology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1Z1, Canada. ²⁹Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark. ³⁰Estonian Academy of Sciences, 6 Kohtu Street, 10130 Tallinn, Estonia. ³¹Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, University of Copenhagen, 2200 Copenhagen, Denmark.

*These authors contributed equally to this work. †To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: ewillerslev@snm.ku.dk (E.W.); wangj@genomics.org.cn (W.J.); rasmus_nielsen@berkeley.edu (R.N.) (maximum 3% of all cytosines) and were restricted to a 5-nucleotide region at each read terminus. For this reason, read termini were trimmed to improve single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) call quality (4).

The genome was mapped and genotyped, identifying 2,782,401 SNPs, of which 449,115 were considered high-confidence, with a false-positive rate of <2.4%, and were used in further analyses (4). Of these, 28,395 (6.3%) have not been previously reported (4). Despite extensive handling of the hair by people of European ancestry, contamination levels based on the level of X-chromosome heterozygosity were estimated to be less than 0.5% (4). These findings are in agreement with studies showing that ancient human hair can be decontaminated by pretreatment (12, 14). Furthermore, no evidence of recent European admixture or contamination could be detected at the genotype level (4).

The Australian individual's mitochondrial genome (mtDNA) was sequenced to an average depth of 338×. It belongs to a new subclade of haplogroup O (hg O) that we term hg O1a (4). Haplogroup O is one of the four major lineage groups specific to Australia and has been reported from various parts of the Northern Territory (15 to 16%) (15-17). From high-confidence Y-chromosome SNPs, we assigned his Y chromosome to the K-M526* macro-haplogroup (4). Although the O and P branches of haplogroup K-M526 account for the majority of East and West Eurasian Y chromosomes, the unresolved K-M526* lineages are more common (>5%) only among contemporary populations of Australasia (15, 18). Both uniparental markers fall within the known pattern found among contemporary Aboriginal Australians (15), providing further evidence that the genomic sequence obtained is not contaminated.

We compared our high-confidence SNPs with Illumina SNP chip data from 1220 individuals belonging to 79 populations (4). Among these are individuals from the Kusunda and Aeta, two populations of hunter-gatherers from Nepal and the Philippines, respectively. Both groups have been hypothesized to be possible relict populations from the proposed early wave of dispersal across eastern Asia (19, 20).

Principal components analysis (PCA) results illustrated genetic differentiation among Africans, Asians, and populations of greater Australia. The Australian genome clusters together with Highland Papua New Guinea (PNG) samples and is thus positioned roughly between South and East Asians. Apart from the neighboring Bougainville Papuans, the closest populations to the Aboriginal Australian are the Munda speakers of India and the Aeta from the Philippines (Fig. 1B). This pattern is confirmed from 542 individuals from 43 Asian and greater Australia populations (4) and by including an additional 25 populations from India (21) that all fall on the Eurasian axis, including those of the Great Andamanese and Onge from the Andaman Islands (21). The PCA and admixture results (Fig. 1C) further confirm the lack of European contamination or recent admixture in the genome sequence.

We used the *D* test (22, 23) on the SNP chip data and genomes to look for shared ancestry between Aboriginal Australians and other groups (4). We found significantly larger proportions of shared derived alleles between the Aboriginal Australian and Asians (Cambodian, Japanese, Han, and Dai) than between the Aboriginal Australian and Europeans (French) (Table 1, rows 1 to 4). We also found a significantly larger proportion of shared derived alleles between the French and the Asians than between the French and the Aboriginal Australian (Table 1, rows 5 to 8). These findings do not allow us to discriminate between the two models of origin, but they do rule out simple models of complete isolation of populations since divergence. Our data do not provide consistent evidence of gene flow between populations of greater Australia (Aboriginal Australian/PNG Highlands) and Asian ancestors after the latter split from Native Americans under various models (4) (there may still be some gene flow between Bougainville and some Asian ancestors after that time; Table 1). This suggests that before European contact occurred, Aboriginal Australian and PNG Highlands ancestors

Table 1. Results of D test.

	Ingroup 1	Ingroup 2	Outgroup	Difference*	Total†	D‡	SD§	ZII
1	French	Cambodian	Australian	461	8,035	0.06	0.013	4.5
2	French	Japanese	Australian	463	8,107	0.06	0.013	4.5
3	French	Han	Australian	674	7,908	0.09	0.012	7.0
4	French	Dai	Australian	636	8,214	0.08	0.013	6.0
5	Australian	Cambodian	French	435	8,009	0.05	0.013	4.3
6	Australian	Japanese	French	357	7,991	0.04	0.012	3.6
7	Australian	Han	French	487	7,713	0.06	0.012	5.1
8	Australian	Dai	French	343	7,919	0.04	0.012	3.5
9	Surui	Cambodian	Australian	-4	7,644	0.00	0.012	0.0
10	Surui	Japanese	Australian	1	7,477	0.00	0.013	0.0
11	Surui	Han	Australian	215	7,261	0.03	0.013	2.4
12	Surui	Dai	Australian	169	7,493	0.02	0.013	1.7
13	Surui	Cambodian	PNG Highlands	-195	64,149	0.00	0.006	-0.5
14	Surui	Japanese	PNG Highlands	288	62,364	0.00	0.006	0.7
15	Surui	Han	PNG Highlands	393	60,947	0.01	0.006	1.0
16	Surui	Dai	PNG Highlands	427	62,925	0.01	0.006	1.0
17	Surui	Cambodian	Bougainville	319	64,951	0.00	0.006	0.8
18	Surui	Japanese	Bougainville	1,543	63,063	0.02	0.007	3.6
19	Surui	Han	Bougainville	1,577	62,019	0.03	0.006	3.9
20	Surui	Dai	Bougainville	1,691	63,585	0.03	0.006	4.2

*Number of sites where a derived allele is shared between outgroup and ingroup 1 subtracted from sites where the derived allele is shared between outgroup and ingroup 2. †Number of sites where a derived allele is found in the outgroup and one of the ingroups. ‡D test statistics (difference divided by total). \$Standard deviation (found by block jackknife). IlStandardized statistics (to determine significance).

Table 2. Results of the D_{4P} test. The results are from NA19239 (for YRI), NA12891 (for CEU), HG00421 (for ASN), and the Aboriginal Australian genome (ABR). The two groups are patterns representing the two ways in which eligible SNPs can partition the four genomes (they have not been polarized).

	Group 1	Group 2
YRI	1	1
ABR	0	1
CEU	0	0
ASN	1	0
Observed number*	13,974	14,765
Observed proportion (95% CI)†	48.6%	51.4%
	(47.8 to 49.4%)	(50.6 to 52.2%)
Expected proportion under multiple-dispersal model 1‡	48.7%	51.3%
Expected proportion under multiple-dispersal model 2§	48.0%	52.0%
Expected proportion under single-dispersal model	50.3%	49.7%

^{*}Average number of eligible SNPs showing groups 1 and 2 across block bootstrap replicates. [†]95% confidence interval obtained from a block bootstrap (4). *Z* test rejects the null hypothesis that this value is equal to 50% (*Z* = 3.3, *P* < 0.001). [‡]Expected proportion from a multiple-dispersal model in which aboriginal Australasians split from Eurasian populations 2500 generations ago, before the split of European and Asian populations. This split time was estimated using the Aboriginal, NA12891, and HG00421 sequences (*A*). These were the same individuals used for the *D_{AP}* analysis. Sepreted proportion from a multiple-dispersal model in which aboriginal Australasians split from Eurasian populations 2750 generations ago, before the split of European and Asian populations. This split time was estimated using the Aboriginal Australasians and all Eurasian sequences (*A*). IlExpected proportion from coalescent simulations under a model in which aboriginal Australasians split from Asian populations 1500 generations ago. The other parameters were those estimated by Schaffner *et al.* (*27*). See (*A*) for additional models. had been genetically isolated from other populations (except possibly each other) since at least 15,000 to 30,000 years B.P. (24).

To identify which model of human dispersal best explains the data, we sequenced three Han Chinese genomes to an average depth of 23 to $24 \times (4)$ and used a test comparing the patterns of similarity between these or the Aboriginal Australian to African and European individuals (4). This test, which we call D_{4P} , is closely related to the *D* test (22, 23) but is far more robust to errors and can detect subtle demographic signals in the data that may be masked by large amounts of secondary gene flow (4).

Taking those sites where the Aboriginal Australian (ABR) differs from a Han Chinese representing eastern Asia (ASN), and comparing ABR and ASN with the Centre d'Etude du Polymorphisme Humain (CEPH) European sample (CEU) representing Europe and the Yoruba representing Africa (YRI), the single-dispersal model (Fig. 1A, top) predicts an equal number of sites supporting group 1 [(YRI, ASN), (CEU, ABR)] and group 2 [(YRI, ABR), (CEU, ASN)]. In contrast, the multiple-dispersal model (Fig. 1A, bottom) predicts an excess of group 2. Indeed, we found a statistically significant excess of sites (51.4%) grouping the Yoruba and Australian genomes together (group 2) relative to the Yoruba and East Asian genomes together (group 1, 48.6%, P <0.001), consistent with a basal divergence of Aboriginal Australians in relation to East Asians and Europeans (Table 2). Another possible explanation of our findings is that gene flow between modern European and East Asian populations caused these two populations to appear more similar to each other, generating an excess of sites showing group 2, even under the single-dispersal

model. However, simulations under such a model show that the amount of gene flow between Europeans and East Asians (5) cannot generate the excess of sites showing group 2 unless Aboriginal Australian, East Asian, and European ancestral populations all split from each other around the same time, with no subsequent migration between aboriginal Australasians and East Asians (4). Such a model, however, would be inconsistent with our results from D test, PCA, and discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) (4), given that the Aboriginal Australian is found to be genetically closer to East Asians than to Europeans (Table 1 and Fig. 1B). Thus, our findings suggest that a model in which Aboriginal Australians are directly derived from ancestral Asian populations, as proposed by the single-dispersal model, is not compatible with the genomic data. Instead, our results favor the multiple-dispersal model in which the ancestors of Aboriginal Australian and related populations split from the Eurasian population before Asian and European populations split from each other (4).

To estimate the times of divergence, we developed a population genetic method for estimating demographic parameters from diploid whole-genome data. The method uses patterns of allele frequencies and linkage disequilibrium to obtain joint estimates of migration rates and divergence times between pairs of populations (4). Using this method, we estimate that aboriginal Australasians split from the ancestral Eurasian population 62,000 to 75,000 years B.P. This estimate fits well with the mtDNA-based coalescent estimates of 45,000 to 75,000 years B.P. of the non-African founder lineages (4, 15, 25, 26). Furthermore, we find that the European and Asian



Fig. 2. Reconstruction of early spread of modern humans outside Africa. The tree shows the divergence of the Aboriginal Australian (ABR) relative to the CEPH European (CEU) and the Han Chinese (HAN) with gene flow between aboriginal Australasians and Asian ancestors. Purple arrow shows early spread of the ancestors of Aboriginal Australians into eastern Asia ~62,000 to 75,000 years B.P. (ka BP), exchanging genes with Denisovans, and reaching Australia ~50,000 years B.P. Black arrow shows spread of East Asians ~25,000 to 38,000 years B.P. and admixing with remnants of the early dispersal (red arrow) some time before the split between Asians and Native American ancestors ~15,000 to 30,000 years B.P. YRI, Yoruba.

populations split from each other only 25,000 to 38,000 years B.P., in agreement with previous estimates (5, 6). All three populations, however, have a divergence time similar to the representative African sequence. Additionally, our estimated split time between aboriginal Australasians and the ancestral Eurasian population predicts the observed excess of sites showing group 2 discussed above (Table 2). To obtain confidence intervals and test hypotheses, we used a block bootstrap approach. In 100 bootstrap samples, we always obtained a longer divergence time between East Asians and the Aboriginal Australian than between East Asians and Europeans, showing that we can reject the null hypothesis of a trichotomy in the population phylogeny with statistical significance of approximately P < 0.01. In these analyses we have taken changes in population sizes and the effect of gene flow after divergence between populations into account. However, our models are still relatively simple, and the models we consider are only a subset of all the possible models of human demography. In addition, we have not attempted directly to model the combined effects of demography and selection. The true history of human diversification is likely to be more complex than the simple demographic models considered here.

We used two approaches to test for admixture in the genomic sequence of the Aboriginal Australian with archaic humans [Neandertals and Denisovans (22, 23)]. We asked whether previously identified high-confidence Neandertal admixture segments in Europeans and Asians (22) could also be found in the Aboriginal Australian. We found that the proportion of such segments in the Aboriginal Australian closely matched that observed in European and Asian sequences (4). In the case of the Denisovans, we used a D test (22, 23) to search for evidence of admixture within the Aboriginal Australian genome. This test compares the proportion of shared derived alleles between an outgroup sequence (Denisovan) and two ingroup sequences. This test showed a relative increase in allele sharing between the Denisovan and the Aboriginal Australian genomes, compared to other Eurasians and Africans including Andaman Islanders (4), but slightly less allele sharing than observed for Papuans. However, we found that the D test is highly sensitive to errors in the ingroup sequences (4), and shared errors are of particular concern when the comparisons involve both an ingroup and outgroup ancient DNA sequence. Although we cannot exclude these results being influenced by such errors, the latter result is consistent with the hypothesis of increased admixture between Denisovans or related groups and the ancestors of the modern inhabitants of Melanesia (23). This admixture may have occurred in Melanesia or, alternatively, in Eurasia during the early migration wave.

The degree to which a single individual is representative of the evolutionary history of Aboriginal Australians more generally is unclear. Nonetheless, we conclude that the ancestors of

REPORTS

this Aboriginal Australian man-and possibly of all Aboriginal Australians-are as distant from Africans as are other Eurasians, and that the Aboriginal ancestors split 62,000 to 75,000 years B.P. from the gene pool that all contemporary non-African populations appear to descend from. Rather than supporting a single early human expansion into eastern Asia, our findings support the alternative model of Aboriginal Australians descending from an early Asian expansion wave some 62,000 to 75,000 years B.P. The data also fit this model's prediction of substantial admixture and replacement of populations from the first wave by the second expansion wave, with a few populations such as Aboriginal Australians, and possibly PNG Highlands and Aeta, being remnants of the early dispersal (Fig. 2). This is compatible with mtDNA data showing that although all haplogroups observed in Australia are unique to this region, they derive from the same few founder haplogroups that are shared by all non-African populations (4). Finally, our data are in agreement with contemporary Aboriginal Australians being the direct descendants from the first humans to be found in Australia, dating to \sim 50,000 years B.P. (7, 8). This means that Aboriginal Australians likely have one of the oldest continuous population histories outside sub-Saharan Africa today.

References and Notes

- S. Ramachandran *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 15942 (2005).
- 2. H. Liu, F. Prugnolle, A. Manica, F. Balloux, *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **79**, 230 (2006).
- HUGO Pan-Asian SNP Consortium, Science 326, 1541 (2009).
- 4. See supporting material on Science Online.
- R. N. Gutenkunst, R. D. Hernandez, S. H. Williamson, C. D. Bustamante, *PLoS Genet.* 5, e1000695 (2009).
- 6. A. Keinan, J. C. Mullikin, N. Patterson, D. Reich,
- Nat. Genet. 39, 1251 (2007).
- G. R. Summerhayes et al., Science 330, 78 (2010).
 J. O'Connell, J. Allen, J. Archaeol. Sci. 31, 835 (2004).
- J. Covalli-Sforza, P. Menozzi, A. Piazza, *The History and Geography of Human Genes* (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994).
- M. M. Lahr, R. Foley, *Evol. Anthropol.* 3, 48 (1994).
 M. M. Lahr, R. A. Foley, *Yearb. Phys. Anthropol.* 41, 137 (1998).
- 12. M. Rasmussen et al., Nature 463, 757 (2010).
- 13. J. Binladen et al., Genetics 172, 733 (2006).
- 14. M. T. P. Gilbert et al., Science 320, 1787 (2008).
- 15. G. Hudjashov et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 8726 (2007).
- 16. M. Ingman, U. Gyllensten, Genome Res. 13, 1600 (2003).
- S. M. van Holst Pellekaan, M. Ingman, J. Roberts-Thomson, R. M. Harding, Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 131, 282 (2006).
- T. M. Karafet et al., Mol. Biol. Evol. 27, 1833 (2010).
 M. Lahr, The Evolution of Modern Human Diversity: A Study of Cranial Variation (Cambridge Univ. Press,
- Cambridge, 1996).
 20. P. Whitehouse, T. Usher, M. Ruhlen, W. S.-Y. Wang, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 101, 5692 (2004).
- D. Reich, K. Thangaraj, N. Patterson, A. L. Price, L. Singh, Nature 461, 489 (2009).

- 22. R. E. Green et al., Science 328, 710 (2010).
- 23. D. Reich et al., Nature 468, 1053 (2010).
- T. Goebel, M. R. Waters, D. H. O'Rourke, Science 319, 1497 (2008).
- 25. P. Endicott, S. Y. W. Ho, M. Metspalu, C. Stringer, *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **24**, 515 (2009).
- 26. P. Soares et al., Am. J. Hum. Genet. 84, 740 (2009).
- 27. S. F. Schaffner et al., Genome Res. 15, 1576 (2005).
- D. H. Alexander, J. Novembre, K. Lange, *Genome Res.* 19, 1655 (2009).
- Acknowledgments: Our work was endorsed by the Goldfields Land and Sea Council, the organization representing the Aboriginal Traditional Owners of the Goldfields region, including the cultural (and possibly the biological) descendents of the individual who provided the hair sample. See (4) for letter. Data are accessible through NCBI Sequence Read Archive SRA035301.1 or through http://dx.doi.org/10.5524/100010. We note the following additional affiliations: S.T. also works for the Australian Federal Police; J.D. is a partner in Dortch & Cuthbert Pty. Ltd.; P.F. is director of Genetic Ancestor Ltd. and Fluxus Technology Ltd.; and C.D.B. serves as an unpaid consultant for 23andMe. For author contributions and extended acknowledgements, see (4).

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1211177/DC1 Materials and Methods

SOM Text Figs. S1 to S39 Tables S1 to S28 References

14 July 2011; accepted 13 September 2011 Published online 22 September 2011; 10.1126/science.1211177

Acetylcholine-Synthesizing T Cells Relay Neural Signals in a Vagus Nerve Circuit

Mauricio Rosas-Ballina,¹* Peder S. Olofsson,¹* Mahendar Ochani,¹ Sergio I. Valdés-Ferrer,^{1,2} Yaakov A. Levine,¹ Colin Reardon,³ Michael W. Tusche,³ Valentin A. Pavlov,¹ Ulf Andersson,⁴ Sangeeta Chavan,¹ Tak W. Mak,³ Kevin J. Tracey¹†

Neural circuits regulate cytokine production to prevent potentially damaging inflammation. A prototypical vagus nerve circuit, the inflammatory reflex, inhibits tumor necrosis factor– α production in spleen by a mechanism requiring acetylcholine signaling through the α 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor expressed on cytokine-producing macrophages. Nerve fibers in spleen lack the enzymatic machinery necessary for acetylcholine production; therefore, how does this neural circuit terminate in cholinergic signaling? We identified an acetylcholine-producing, memory phenotype T cell population in mice that is integral to the inflammatory reflex. These acetylcholine-producing T cells are required for inhibition of cytokine production by vagus nerve stimulation. Thus, action potentials originating in the vagus nerve regulate T cells, which in turn produce the neurotransmitter, acetylcholine, required to control innate immune responses.

Provide the terms of terms of the terms of terms o

inflammation-mediated injury in endotoxemia, sepsis, and other cytokine-dependent models of inflammatory disease (1–4). This neural circuit, termed the inflammatory reflex, requires action potentials arising in the vagus nerve, and acetylcholine interacting with the α 7 subunit of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) expressed on cytokineproducing macrophages in spleen (5). Selective cholinergic agonists significantly inhibit cytokine production in spleen and improve outcome in experimental models of inflammatory disease (6–12).

Vagus nerve fibers terminate in the celiac ganglion, the location of neural cell bodies that project axons in the splenic nerve to innervate the spleen (13, 14). Electrical stimulation of either the vagus nerve above the celiac ganglion or the splenic nerve itself significantly inhibits tumor necrosis factor-a (TNF- α) production by red pulp and marginal zone macrophages, the principal cell source of TNF- α released into the circulation during endotoxemia (15-17). Paradoxically, nerve fibers in spleen, originating in the celiac ganglion, are adrenergic, not cholinergic, and utilize norepinephrine as the primary neurotransmitter (18). Thus, although the spleen has been shown to contain acetylcholine (19, 20), the cellular source of this terminal neurotransmitter in the inflammatory reflex is unknown. Because lymphocytes can synthesize and release acetylcholine (21, 22), we reasoned that they might be the source of acetylcholine that relays functional information transmitted by action potentials originating in the vagus nerve to the spleen.

To determine whether vagus nerve stimulation induces increased acetylcholine release in the spleen,

†To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: kjtracey@nshs.edu

¹Laboratory of Biomedical Science, The Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, 350 Community Drive, Manhasset, New York 11030, USA. ²Elmezzi Graduate School of Molecular Medicine, The Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, 350 Community Drive, Manhasset, New York 11030, USA. ³The Campbell Family Institute for Breast Cancer Research, University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario M5G 2C1, Canada. ⁴Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet, S-171 76 Stockholm, Sweden.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.



An Aboriginal Australian Genome Reveals Separate Human Dispersals into Asia

Morten Rasmussen, Xiaosen Guo, Yong Wang, Kirk E. Lohmueller, Simon Rasmussen, Anders Albrechtsen, Line Skotte, Stinus Lindgreen, Mait Metspalu, Thibaut Jombart, Toomas Kivisild, Weiwei Zhai, Anders Eriksson, Andrea Manica, Ludovic Orlando, Francisco M. De La Vega, Silvana Tridico, Ene Metspalu, Kasper Nielsen, María C. Ávila-Arcos, J. Víctor Moreno-Mayar, Craig Muller, Joe Dortch, M. Thomas P. Gilbert, Ole Lund, Agata Wesolowska, Monika Karmin, Lucy A. Weinert, Bo Wang, Jun Li, Shuaishuai Tai, Fei Xiao, Tsunehiko Hanihara, George van Driem, Aashish R. Jha, François-Xavier Ricaut, Peter de Knijff, Andrea B Migliano, Irene Gallego Romero, Karsten Kristiansen, David M. Lambert, Søren Brunak, Peter Forster, Bernd Brinkmann, Olaf Nehlich, Michael Bunce, Michael Richards, Ramneek Gupta, Carlos D. Bustamante, Anders Krogh, Robert A. Foley, Marta M. Lahr, Francois Balloux, Thomas Sicheritz-Pontén, Richard Villems, Rasmus Nielsen, Jun Wang and Eske Willerslev (September 22, 2011)

Science **334** (6052), 94-98. [doi: 10.1126/science.1211177] originally published online September 22, 2011

Editor's Summary

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only.

Article Tools	Visit the online version of this article to access the personalization and article tools: http://science.sciencemag.org/content/334/6052/94		
Permissions	Obtain information about reproducing this article: http://www.sciencemag.org/about/permissions.dtl		

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published weekly, except the last week in December, by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. Copyright 2016 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science; all rights reserved. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.